Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated	С	orrected		Suppler	nental
LRB I	Number	05-1092/1		Introdu	ction Numbe	er A	B-371	
Subjec	t							
Sales a	and use tax o	on purchases m	ade with a ma	nufacturer's reb	pate			
Fiscal	Effect							
	lo State Fisc ndeterminate Increase I Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing		rb withir Yes	agency'	e possible s budget \[\] No
	ndeterminat 1. lncreas Permiss 2. Decrea	e Costs sive∭Mandato se Costs	3. ☐ Increa ory ☐ Permi 4. ☑ Decre	ase Revenue ssive ∭ Manda ase Revenue ssive ⊠ Manda		nent Uni	its Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities Stadium districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Sig	nature			Date
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310 Re				Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785				5/16/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 5/16/2005

LRB Number	05-1092/1	Introduction Number	AB-371	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Sales and use tax on purchases made with a manufacturer's rebate								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would exempt from sales tax the amount paid by the manufacturer of an item of tangible personal property that reduces the amount the buyer pays for the item. The bill would not apply to motor vehicle purchases. The bill would take effect on the 7th day after publication.

Under current law, payments by manufacturers to retailers are taxable because they are part of the retailer's gross receipts. Manufacturers use payments, such as coupons and rebates, to encourage sales of a variety of products, including durable and nondurable consumer goods, clothing, building materials, garden supplies, computers, electronics, furniture and home furnishings.

Mail-in manufacturers rebates for purchases of computers, cellular telephones, electronic equipment and other relatively expensive items have become common in recent years. The estimate assumes the bill would not apply to mail-in rebates because mail-in rebates are transactions between the manufacturer and the consumer. A mail-in rebate does not involve the seller (i.e., the taxpayer) or the amount the buyer pays to the seller.

According to the Association for Coupon Professionals, nationwide, consumers redeemed a total of about \$3.0 billion (3.9 billion coupons x \$0.77 each) of manufacturers coupons in 2001. NCH Marketing Services estimates nationwide redemptions of manufacturers coupons at \$3.3 billion (4.0 billion coupons x \$0.83 each) in 2001. Assuming Wisconsin consumers redeemed 1.83%, the state's share of disposable personal income, of the average of the two amounts, \$3.15 billion, Wisconsin coupon redemptions would have been about \$58 million (1.83% x \$3.15 billion). Assuming 50% of items purchased with coupons are taxable, state sales taxes on those items would have been about \$1.4 million in 2001. Further assuming coupon redemptions increase at the same rate as total consumption expenditures and a 90% compliance rate, state sales taxes would decrease by about \$1.6 million in FY06 and \$1.7 million in FY07.

County and stadium districts sales and use taxes were about 7.72% of state sales and use taxes in FY04. Assuming this percentage does not change, county and stadium sales taxes would decrease by about \$0.1 million in FY06 and \$0.1 million in FY07.

Administrative costs of the bill would be minimal and would be absorbed by the department.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
L	RB Number 05-1092/1	Introduction Num	oer	AB-371					
	Subject Sales and use tax on purchases made with a manufacturer's rebate								
	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):								
II.	Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
			Increased Costs		Decreased Costs				
Α	. State Costs by Category								
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$						
	(FTE Position Changes)								
	State Operations - Other Costs								
	Local Assistance								
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations								
	TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$				
В	State Costs by Source of Funds								
	GPR								
	FED								
	PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S									
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)									
			Increased Rev		Decreased Rev				
	GPR Taxes		\$		\$-1,600,000				
	GPR Earned								
	FED								
	PRO/PRS								
	SEG/SEG-S								
	TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$-1,600,000				
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
			<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$		\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$-1,600,000		\$-100,000				
Α	gency/Prepared By	thorized Signature	Date						
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310			becca Boldt (608) 266-678	5/16/2005					